Health Dynamics
eISSN: 3006-5518
Publisher: Knowledge Dynamics
DOI: https://doi.org/10.33846/hd20505

Mini Review

Digital Distractions and Childhood Attention: Challenges and Interventions

Rinu Johnsburg George[1,*], Manoj Larson[2] and Shiny Tholidumpara Sam[3]

[1]Department of Child Health Nursing, TMM College of Nursing, Kerala 689582, India
[2]Mental Health Nurse, Greater Manchester Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester M25 3BL, United Kingdom
[3]Department of Medical-Surgical Nursing, TMM College of Nursing, Kerala 689582, India

Article history
Received: 28 April 2025
Revised: 20 May 2025
Accepted: 21 May 2025
Published Online: 28 May 2025

***Correspondence:**
Rinu Johnsburg George
Address: Department of Child Health Nursing, TMM College of Nursing, Kerala 689582, India.
Email: rinugeorge57@yahoo.com

How to cite this article: George RJ, Larson M, Sam ST. Digital Distractions and Childhood Attention: Challenges and Interventions. Health Dynamics, 2025, 2(5), 204-208. https://doi.org/10.33846/hd20505

ABSTRACT
Attention span is a critical determinant of academic performance and cognitive development in children. To synthesize the literature on factors influencing attention span among school-aged children, assessment strategies, and interventions. A narrative review was conducted using articles from PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar between 2015 and 2024. Studies were selected based on relevance, methodological quality, and thematic alignment. Factors affecting attention span include age, sleep, screen time, nutrition, classroom environment, and neurodevelopmental conditions. Interventions such as mindfulness, physical activity, and structured classroom routines showed positive effects. Attention span is influenced by multifaceted factors. Holistic, evidence-based approaches are required to enhance attention in school children.

Keywords: Attention span; child; cognition; education; learning

1. INTRODUCTION

Attention span refers to the duration an individual can maintain focus on a particular task or stimulus. In school-aged children, this ability is crucial for academic performance, social interaction, and emotional regulation. Recent studies suggest a decline in children's attention spans, attributed to lifestyle changes, increased screen time, overstimulation, and shifts in parenting and educational practices. According to a 2021 meta-analysis, attention span in children has declined by approximately 12% over the past two decades.[1-3]

The dual impact of technology is particularly apparent when analysing its effects on cognitive areas including attention, memory, executive functioning, and social cognition. Interactive digital experiences, such as video games, have been linked to improvements in visual-spatial skills and cognitive flexibility. Educational applications hold potential in enhancing problem-solving abilities and logical reasoning. Conversely, extended screen usage has been

associated with attentional impairments, diminished academic achievement, and impaired social interaction skills.[4,5] The contradictory results underscore the necessity for a nuanced, context-specific examination of how digital gadgets may promote or impede cognitive development.[6] The association between digital device usage and cognitive development is inconsistent, influenced by individual characteristics and contextual circumstances. This encompasses the type of content accessed, the distinction between interactive and passive interaction with the device, and the displacement of alternative developmental activities. The displacement theory suggests that screen time may supplant time allocated to cognitively advantageous activities, such as reading and in-person interactions, potentially resulting in developmental delays.[7,8] A thorough knowledge reinforces the need for a focused analysis of the interaction between digital devices and various developmental contexts.

Besides cognitive effects, digital devices significantly influence children's psychological development. Children utilize social media and various online platforms for identity construction, emotional comprehension, and self-esteem development, frequently resulting in significant consequences.[9,10] Social media platforms offer avenues for social connection and self-expression; nevertheless, they also pose hazards associated with maladaptive behaviours, including diminished impulse control, social isolation, and addiction-like symptoms linked to excessive device usage. The psychological consequences of internet involvement are as significant as the cognitive ones and require thorough investigation.[11-13]

While short attention spans are developmentally appropriate to a degree, prolonged difficulties can hinder learning and behavioural outcomes. This narrative review aims to explore the contributing factors to attention span variation, common assessment tools, and evidence-based interventions to support attention development in school-aged children

2. METHODS

This study follows a narrative review design. Databases including PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar were searched for English-language articles published between 2015 and 2024 using keywords such as "attention span," "school-aged children," "cognitive development," and "intervention. Inclusion criteria involved peer-reviewed articles discussing attention span in children aged 6–12 years, focusing on contributing factors, assessments, or interventions. Exclusion criteria involved studies limited to adult populations, clinical samples with exclusive focus on disorders (e.g., ADHD), or non-English articles.

3. RESULTS

Attention span in children is influenced by a combination of biological, environmental, and psychosocial factors. Neurodevelopmental maturity plays a key role, with younger children naturally exhibiting shorter attention spans that improve with age. Adequate nutrition, good sleep quality, and overall physical health further support attention. Environmental aspects such as parental involvement, screen time, and classroom structure also significantly impact focus, with excessive screen exposure—especially before sleep—linked to poor attention. Additionally, emotional regulation, peer interactions, household structure, and parental stress levels can affect a child's ability to concentrate.

3.1 What's Shrinking Kids' Attention Spans

The widespread usage of digital gadgets is one element causing this trend. Early screen, social media, and game exposure have been shown to alter brain circuits, favouring fast-paced, stimulating content over patience-testing and deeply focused pursuits. Reading and problem-solving are two hobbies that need sustained focus and may be difficult for kids used to digital content because they don't provide the same instant gratification as digital interactions.[14] In order to attract students' short-term attention, teachers have adapted their lessons to be more interactive and visually appealing. For instance, they frequently remark that even young pupils exhibit signs of restlessness when traditional teaching approaches are used.[15]

3.2 Building Focused Futures: Adaptive Strategies for a Distracted Generation

Despite objections, a shorter attention span allows flexibility. Gamification, experiential learning, and multimodal methods are employed to adapt to this transition. Project-based learning and peer cooperation can boost focus by integrating learning into relevant and dynamic contexts.[16,17] Learning self-regulation and mindfulness can help kids control their attention, improving their academic and social performance.[18]

Parents, schools, and politicians must consider this reform to balance screen time with focus, creativity, and introspection. Despite the benefits of the digital age, kids need to be encouraged to read, draw, and play outside to develop patience and resilience. Balanced surroundings that teach kids to concentrate in a noisy world might prepare them for hard activities and lifetime learning.[19,20]

3.3 Parental Pulse: Coping with Shortened Attention in a Fast-Paced World

Shorter attention spans affect children's growth, schooling, and well-being, making parents worry more. Many parents worry that their children's frequent use of digital devices and the constant bombardment of fast-paced, engaging content may hinder their ability to concentrate, participate fully, and develop critical thinking skills. Parents worry about.[21,22] Academic performance: Parents often notice that their children struggle to focus on reading, problem-solving, and homework.[23] Since success often requires continuous attention, patience, and self-discipline, this tendency raises doubts about whether youngsters will succeed in typical academic environments.[24,25]

3.4 Social and Emotional Development:

Short-attention span children may have trouble listening, empathizing, and speaking clearly. Parents believe this may hinder empathy, emotional resilience, and meaningful relationships.[26] Over-reliance on digital interactions may decrease children's ability to converse in person, which is crucial for survival.[27] Burnout & Overstimulation: Children who are constantly exposed to fast-paced material may become overstimulated and unable to handle boredom or focus on slower, more careful work. Parents worry that constant stimulation can cause impatience, impulsivity, and fatigue.[28,29] Decreased Imagination and Creativity: Many parents worry that too much screen time is hindering their children from learning, playing, and discovering.[30] Children who paint, build with blocks, or play outside need patience and focus, which screen time may not develop.[31,32]

3.5 Unified Strategies for Building Focus and Resilience in the Digital Age

Parents, educators, healthcare experts, legislators, and the community must collaborate to solve children's short attention spans. This approach combines technology with self-control, patience, and focus.[33] Screen usage should be limited by age to provide kids time for offline activities. The American Academy of Pediatrics provides family-specific age-based screen-time guidelines. Limiting screen time and promoting patient-testing activities like reading, cooking, and hobbies can help parents display focused, well-balanced behavior. Promotion of Offline Activities: Sports, art, and outdoor play teach kids patience, creativity, and fitness.[34,35] Breathing exercises, mindfulness, and breaks between classes can help kids focus and manage stress. Project-based and experiential learning lets kids investigate concepts through projects or real-world applications that demand focus and critical thinking.[36,37] This method lets students' study longer and learn independently. Personalized Learning Plans aid to engage kids in class, tailor learning to their interests, strengths, and attention span. Community Help: After-School Programs: STEM, athletics, and art at community centers and after-school programs help kids focus. Creating Tech-Free Zones and Activities Technology-free zones or events in libraries, parks, and other public locations might help kids focus and develop social skills.[38,39] Parents can learn evidence-based techniques to enhance attention, identify developmental issues, and restrict screen use at medical and community centers. School Digital Literacy Programs and Policy Changes Conscious technology use programs can educate students how to manage their attention span. Public Awareness Campaigns: Governments and NGOs can promote screen time balance to help families develop mental health and attention.[40,41]

4. DISCUSSION

The reviewed literature supports the hypothesis that a confluence of factors influences attention span in children. Screen time was consistently linked to shorter attention spans, while structured environments, both at home and school, were protective. Interventions incorporating mindfulness and physical activity demonstrated strong outcomes in multiple studies. There remains a lack of longitudinal studies that assess the long-term impact of these interventions. Additionally, most existing research is centred on Western populations, limiting cross-cultural applicability. The integration of neurodevelopmental findings with behavioural interventions holds promise for future study.

5. CONCLUSION

This review identifies attention span as a multifactorial construct influenced by biological, environmental, and behavioral elements. Enhancing children's attention requires collaborative, evidence-based strategies that include family, school, and community involvement. With the rise in digital engagement, it is crucial to cultivate environments that support focus, patience, and resilience.

Ethical Approval

Not required.

Competing Interests

All the authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding Information

No funds were received for this study.

Underlying Data

Derived data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on request.

REFERENCES

1. Vedechkina M, Borgonovi F. A Review of Evidence on the Role of Digital Technology in Shaping Attention and Cognitive Control in Children. Frontiers in Psychology. 2021;12:611155. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.611155
2. Barr R. Growing Up in the Digital Age: Early Learning and Family Media Ecology. Current Directions in Psychological Science. 2019;28(4):341–346. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0963721419838245
3. Shanmugasundaram M, Tamilarasu A. The impact of digital technology, social media, and artificial intelligence on cognitive functions: a review. Frontiers in Cognition. 2023;2:1203077. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fcogn.2023.1203077
4. Spence A, Beasley K, Gravenkemper H, Hoefler A, Ngo A, Ortiz D, et al. Social media use while listening to new material negatively affects short-term memory in college students. Physiology & Behavior. 2020;227:113172. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2020.113172
5. Piaget J. The Origins of Intelligence in Children. New York, USA: W W Norton & Co.; 1952: p. 419.
6. Estévez B, Gabriela M. Teoría Psicogenética de Jean Piaget: Aportes Para Comprender al Niño de Hoy Que Será El Adulto Del Mañana. Buenos Aires, Argentina: Pontificia Universidad Católica Argentina; 2022.
7. Madigan S, Browne D, Racine N, Mori C, Tough S. Association Between Screen Time and Children's Performance on a Developmental Screening Test. JAMA Pediatrics. 2019;173(3):244. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.5056
8. Huber B, Yeates M, Meyer D, Fleckhammer L, Kaufman J. The effects of screen media content on young children's executive functioning. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology. 2018;170:72–85. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2018.01.006
9. Vygotsky LS. Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States: Harvard University Press; 1980.
10. Przybylski AK, Weinstein N. A Large-Scale Test of the Goldilocks Hypothesis. Psychological Science. 2017;28(2):204–215. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0956797616678438
11. Twenge JM, Campbell WK. Associations between screen time and lower psychological well-being among children and adolescents: Evidence from a population-based study. Preventive Medicine Reports. 2018;12:271–283. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2018.10.003
12. Spence I, Feng J. Video Games and Spatial Cognition. Review of General Psychology. 2010;14(2):92–104. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0019491
13. Uttal DH, Meadow NG, Tipton E, Hand LL, Alden AR, Warren C, et al. The malleability of spatial skills: A meta-analysis of training studies. Psychological Bulletin. 2013;139(2):352–402. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0028446
14. Cheng KH, Tsai CC. Affordances of Augmented Reality in Science Learning: Suggestions for Future Research. Journal of Science Education and Technology. 2012;22(4):449–462. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10956-012-9405-9
15. Small GW, Lee J, Kaufman A, Jalil J, Siddarth P, Gaddipati H, et al. Brain health consequences of digital technology use. Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience. 2020;22(2):179–187. http://dx.doi.org/10.31887/dcns.2020.22.2/gsmall
16. Rashid SMM, Mawah J, Banik E, Akter Y, Deen JI, Jahan A, et al. Prevalence and impact of the use of electronic gadgets on the health of children in secondary schools in Bangladesh: A cross-sectional study. Health Science Reports. 2021;4(4):e388. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.388
17. Yanes N, Bououd I. Using Gamification and Serious Games for English Language Learning. 2019 International Conference on Computer and Information Sciences (ICCIS). 2019;1–6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/iccisci.2019.8716451
18. Kee T, Zhang H, King RB. An empirical study on immersive technology in synchronous hybrid learning in design education. International Journal of Technology and Design Education. 2023;34(3):1243–73. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10798-023-09855-5
19. Balakrishnan Nair B. Endorsing gamification pedagogy as a helpful strategy to offset the COVID-19 induced disruptions in tourism education. Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport & Tourism Education. 2022;30:100362. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhlste.2021.100362

20. Ginsburg KR. The Importance of Play in Promoting Healthy Child Development and Maintaining Strong Parent-Child Bonds. Pediatrics. 2007;119(1):182–191. http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2006-2697
21. Charan GS, Kalia R, Khurana MS, Narang GS. From Screens to Sunshine: Rescuing Children's Outdoor Playtime in the Digital Era. Journal of Indian Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health. 2024;20(1):11–17. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/09731342241229845
22. Muppalla SK, Vuppalapati S, Reddy Pulliahgaru A, Sreenivasulu H. Effects of Excessive Screen Time on Child Development: An Updated Review and Strategies for Management. Cureus. 2023;15(6):e40608. http://dx.doi.org/10.7759/cureus.40608
23. Santos RMS, Mendes CG, Marques Miranda D, Romano-Silva MA. The Association between Screen Time and Attention in Children: A Systematic Review. Developmental Neuropsychology. 2022;47(4):175–192. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/87565641.2022.2064863
24. Panol RF, Caballes DG, Javillonar MG, Vasquez AG, Valdez MR. Parental involvement in students' completion of learning tasks in Science. International Journal of Scientific Research in Multidisciplinary Studies. 2021;7(5):1-7.
25. Wilder S. Effects of parental involvement on academic achievement: a meta-synthesis. In: Mapping the field. Oxfordshire: Routledge; 2023: pp. 137-157.
26. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education; Board on Children, Youth, and Families; Committee on Supporting the Parents of Young Children; Breiner H, Ford M, Gadsden VL, editors. Parenting Matters: Supporting Parents of Children Ages 0-8. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2016. 2, Parenting Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK402020/
27. Malik F, Marwaha R. Developmental Stages of Social Emotional Development in Children. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.
28. Meng K, Yuan Y, Wang Y, Liang J, Wang L, Shen J, et al. Effects of parental empathy and emotion regulation on social competence and emotional/behavioral problems of school-age children. Pediatric Investigation. 2020;4(2):91–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ped4.12197
29. Iuga IA, David OA, Danet M. Student Burnout in Children and Adolescents: The Role of Attachment and Emotion Regulation. Children. 2023;10(9):1443. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/children10091443
30. Nakshine VS, Thute P, Khatib MN, Sarkar B. Increased Screen Time as a Cause of Declining Physical, Psychological Health, and Sleep Patterns: A Literary Review. Cureus. 2022;14(10):e30051. http://dx.doi.org/10.7759/cureus.30051
31. Muppalla SK, Vuppalapati S, Reddy Pulliahgaru A, Sreenivasulu H. Effects of Excessive Screen Time on Child Development: An Updated Review and Strategies for Management. Cureus. 2023; http://dx.doi.org/10.7759/cureus.40608
32. Liu J, Riesch S, Tien J, Lipman T, Pinto-Martin J, O'Sullivan A. Screen Media Overuse and Associated Physical, Cognitive, and Emotional/Behavioral Outcomes in Children and Adolescents: An Integrative Review. Journal of Pediatric Health Care. 2022;36(2):99–109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pedhc.2021.06.003
33. Doron E. Fostering creativity in school aged children through perspective taking and visual media based short term intervention program. Thinking Skills and Creativity. 2017;23:150–160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2016.12.003
34. Canadian Paediatric Society, Digital Health Task Force, Ottawa, Ontario. Screen time and young children: Promoting health and development in a digital world. Paediatrics & Child Health. 2018;23(1):83–83. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pch/pxx197
35. Hoyos Cillero I, Jago R. Systematic review of correlates of screen-viewing among young children. Preventive Medicine. 2010;51(1):3–10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2010.04.012
36. Hamilton K, Spinks T, White KM, Kavanagh DJ, Walsh AM. A psychosocial analysis of parents' decisions for limiting their young child's screen time: An examination of attitudes, social norms and roles, and control perceptions. British Journal of Health Psychology. 2015;21(2):285–301. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12168
37. Duch H, Fisher EM, Ensari I, Harrington A. Screen time use in children under 3 years old: a systematic review of correlates. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2013;10(1):102. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-10-102
38. Lerner C, Barr R. Screen Sense: Setting the Record Straight; Research-Based Guidelines for Screen Use for Children Under 3 Years Old. Zero to Three. 2015;35(4):1-10.
39. Anderson DR, Huston AC, Schmitt KL, Linebarger DL, Wright JC. Early childhood television viewing and adolescent behavior: The recontact study. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development. 2001;66(1):i–viii, 1–147.
40. Radesky JS, Schumacher J, Zuckerman B. Mobile and Interactive Media Use by Young Children: The Good, the Bad, and the Unknown. Pediatrics. 2015;135(1):1–3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2014-2251
41. Haleem A, Javaid M, Qadri MA, Suman R. Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. Sustainable Operations and Computers. 2022;3:275–285. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.susoc.2022.05.004